

Is there „Artificial Intelligence“ („AI“) – can matter think?

As with every scientific investigation, that which is scientifically investigated in order to be then „artificially produced“ („simulated“) must, of course (what else!), first be defined. Here, then, is the definition¹:

Thinking = Df The system-oriented and -controlled mathematical combining and calculating of basically non-mathematical respectively non-mathematizable basic elements (concepts, ideas).

A material system is, since it does not contain such non-mathematizable basic elements, completely mathematizable; therefore – according to the above definition – it basically **cannot** think: Because otherwise every computer, every calculating machine, every pocket calculator could think and would have intelligence and consciousness.

So, in order to be able to think, something would have to be **added** to a material system, to its mathematics. And since what would have to be added, so that the system can think, is nothing else than those non-mathematical, non-mathematizable basic elements (concepts, ideas), and since a system **consists** in the end exactly of these basic elements, it would be no material system (any more), but an immaterial one: **thinking itself**².

That means: A perfect robot would be consequently something – a material system – which can neither think, has neither („artificial“) intelligence nor consciousness, but only **behaves** in every respect **as if it had** intelligence and consciousness. Its entire **behavior** would be systematically perfectly mathematized to **resemble** that of humans in every respect – without in reality possessing even a single fraction of intelligence and consciousness. With an animal, as is well known, a simple scarecrow is sufficient for the deception – with the human being itself it requires just **greater**, more ‚scientific‘ efforts, - even if these ‚scientists‘* are idiots & assholes, who, as has been shown here, have problems with thinking themselves³.

So the term (concept) „Artificial Intelligence“ („A.I.“) is a *fake*.

¹ Because otherwise one does not know at all what one (actually) examines or investigates and then wants to produce (artificially), - so that what one then artificially reproduces or wants to reproduce on the basis of this ‚investigation‘ is possibly something (completely) different from what one believes it to be. – The fact that, to my knowledge, no brain researcher or ‚intelligence simulator‘ („artist“) has yet attempted to **define** the term (concept) „thinking“ (intelligence, consciousness), shows once more, to what extent the representatives and followers of this guild, as already the neurologist and philosopher John Eccles has (indirectly) proven, are not only **pathetic idiots*** – who fool themselves and others ‚scientifically‘ (see Calculus Materiae p. 18) – but quite obviously **conscienceless, deceitful assholes*** – with which therefore also at the same time a good, suitable empirical definition of these two complementary (bold) terms (characteristics) is given or at least implied.

² The biological-neuronal findings following the process of thinking are either direct signals to the body or (unconscious) material accompanying reactions to just these immaterial, mental processes.

³ Their inability to think correctly and to deal with language appropriately had already been pointed out by the analytical science theorist Peter Janich („Kein neues Menschenbild – Zur Sprache der Hirnforschung“, Frankfurt 2009).